

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

SONOS, INC.,

Plaintiff,

vs.

GOOGLE LLC,

Defendant.

Case No. 3:20-cv-06754-WHA
Related to Case No. 3:21-cv-07559-WHA

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
GOOGLE'S ADMINISTRATIVE
MOTION TO CONSIDER WHETHER
ANOTHER PARTY'S MATERIAL
SHOULD BE SEALED**

1 Plaintiff Google LLC (“Google”) has filed an Administrative Motion to Consider Whether
 2 Another Party’s Material Should Be Sealed in connection with its Motion *in Limine* No. 1 to Exclude
 3 the Expert Report and Testimony of Mr. James Malackowski Regarding Damages, as Well ss
 4 Related Opinions and Testimony of Dr. Kevin Almeroth (“Motion *in Limine* No. 1”) (“Google’s
 5 Administrative Motion”).

6 Having considered Google’s Administrative Motion, and good cause to seal having been
 7 shown, the Court **GRANTS** Google’s Administrative Motion and **ORDERS** sealed the documents
 8 listed below:

Document	Portions to Be Filed Under Seal	Designating Party
Exhibit 1 to the Declaration of James Judah in Support of Google’s Motion <i>in Limine</i> No. 1	Entire Document	Sonos
Exhibit 5 to the Declaration of James Judah in Support of Google’s Motion <i>in Limine</i> No. 1	Entire Document	Sonos
Exhibit 6 to the Declaration of James Judah in Support of Google’s Motion <i>in Limine</i> No. 1	Entire Document	Sonos
Exhibit 7 to the Declaration of James Judah in Support of Google’s Motion <i>in Limine</i> No. 1	Entire Document	Sonos
Exhibit 8 to the Declaration of James Judah in Support of Google’s Motion <i>in Limine</i> No. 1	Entire Document	Sonos
Exhibit D to the Declaration of Joseph Kolker in Support of Sonos’s Opposition to Google’s Motion <i>in Limine</i> No. 1	Portions outlined in blue boxes	Sonos

23 IT IS SO ORDERED.

24
 25 DATED:

26 _____
 27 The Honorable William Alsup
 28 United States District Court Judge